Synthesis of a Hybrid m-Terphenyl/o-Carborane Building Block: Applications in Phosphine Ligand Design

Christopher A. Lugo,[†] Curtis E. Moore,[‡] Arnold L. Rheingold,[‡] and Vincent Lavallo^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of California—Riverside (UCR), Riverside, California 92521, Unite[d S](#page-2-0)tates ‡Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California—San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [A](#page-2-0) [hybrid](#page-2-0) [te](#page-2-0)rphenyl/o-carborane ligand building block is synthesized by the reaction of mterphenylalkyne with $B_{10}H_{14}$. This sterically demanding substituent can be installed into ligands, as demonstrated by the preparation of carboranylphosphine. The bulky phosphine reacts with $\left[\text{CIRh(CO)}_{2}\right]_{2}$ to produce monophosphine complex $CIRhL(CO)_{2}$, which subsequently extrudes CO under vacuum to afford the dimeric species $[CIRhL(CO)]$. The latter complex does not react with excess phosphine and is resistant toward cyclometalation, which is in contrast to related *o*-carborane phosphine complexes. Data from a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study are utilized to quantify the steric impact of the ligand via the percent buried volume approach.

B ulky hydrocarbon substituents are key components in ligand design. Sterically demanding groups both favor the favor of law coordinate gracies and kinetically protected formation of low-coordinate species and kinetically protect reactive metal centers. Low-coordinate transition-metal complexes are of practical importance because of their prominent role in catalysis and the formation of M−M multiply bonded species. One of the bulkiest ligand substituent families is derivatives of the m-terphenyl 1 (Figure 1, top left). The coordinating atom or group is typically attached at position $A¹$ or $B²$ on the central terphenyl aromatic ring. Alternatively, as exemplified by the elegant work of Power and co-workers, 3 [th](#page-2-0)e te[rp](#page-2-0)henyl itself can be used as a massive X-type ligand when coordinated directly to a metal center at position B.

Interesting alternatives to bulky hydrocarbon groups are the carborane and boron cluster compounds.⁴ Because of their unusual electronic structure and distinct polyhedral geometries, these ligand substituents produce unique c[oo](#page-2-0)rdination environments.^{4a,b} Particular progress has been made in the design of carboranylphosphine ligands⁵ and, more recently, N-heterocyclic carbe[nes](#page-2-0) (NHCs).⁶ Most investigations have focused on the utilization of icosahedral [di](#page-2-0)carbaborane clusters (C_2B_{10}) or anionic nido deriv[ati](#page-2-0)ves $(C_2B_9^{-1})$ as hydrocarbon surrogates.^{4a,b} Because of their accessibility, \hat{f} derivatives of the o -carborane 2 are most often implemented in ligand design (Figure 1, top right[\).](#page-2-0) o[-](#page-2-0)Carborane clusters can b[e](#page-2-0) assembled by the reaction of functionalized alkynes with decaborane $(B_{10}H_{14})$.⁷ We envisioned utilizing this reaction methodology to couple a substituted *m*-terphenyl 1 with an *o*-carborane moti[f](#page-2-0) 2 to create a superbulky ligand building block (Figure 1). Here we report the synthesis of such a hybrid m -terphenyl/ o -carborane architecture,

Figure 1. Representations of *m*-terphenyl 1 and o -carborane 2 (top). Synthesis of the hybrid m-terphenyl/o-carborane building block 4 and its derivatization to a phosphine ligand 5 (bottom). Unlabeled carborane vertices = B−H.

its derivatization to a phosphine ligand, and subsequent coordination chemistry with rhodium(I).

As a starting m-terphenylalkyne, we chose the triisopropylphenyl-substituted derivative 3, whose isopropyl resonances provide a convenient NMR signature (Figure 1, bottom). Installation of the alkyne moiety at position A on the m-terphenyl was strategically chosen to project the massive aromatic ring system in the coordination sphere of subsequent transition-metal complexes, vide infra. The novel alkyne 3 is readily prepared from the corresponding bromo- m -terphenyl^{1a} via Sonogashira coupling (see the Supporting Information for details). The

Received: Dece[mber 22, 2014](#page-2-0) Published: February 10, 2015

subsequent reaction of 3 with $B_{10}H_{14}$ in acetonitrile affords the corresponding hybrid m-terphenyl/o-carborane 4 in 75% yield. Deprotonation of the C−H vertex of 4 with n-butyllithium, followed by the reaction with $CIP(iPr)_{2}$ affords the corresponding air-stable phosphine 5.

We next turned our attention to the coordinative ability of ligand 5 with rhodium(I). The carbonyl complex $\lfloor \text{CIRh}(\text{CO})_2 \rfloor_2$ was chosen as a starting material because IR analysis of the CO stretching frequencies of the ensuing complex would provide insight into the donor ability of the ligand. The reaction of ligand 5 with $\left[\text{CIRh(CO)}_{2}\right]_{2}$ at 60 °C very slowly (6 days) produces the corresponding cis-dicarbonyl complex 6 (Figure 2), as confirmed

Figure 2. Synthesis of complexes 6 and 7. Unlabeled carborane vertices $=$ B $-H$.

by multinuclear NMR (³¹P, δ = 88.4 ppm, d, ¹J_{Rh,P} = 190.5 Hz) and IR $[\nu(CO) = 2089$ and 2022 cm⁻¹] analysis. Complex 6 is stable in solution but extrudes CO under vacuum to produce the monocarbonyl chloro-bridged dimer 7, as indicated by multinuclear NMR (³¹P, δ = 96.7 ppm, d, ¹J_{Rh,P} = 194.3 Hz) and IR $[\nu(CO) = 1987 \text{ cm}^{-1}]$ analysis. Bubbling CO through a solution of 7 reforms 6, which indicates that the process is reversible. Comparison of the CO stretching frequencies of 6 with reported analogous ClRhL(CO)₂ species supported by $P(tBu)$ ₃ [ν (CO) = 1960 cm⁻¹]⁸ and PPh₃ $[\nu$ (CO) = 1979 cm⁻¹]⁹ shows that ligand 5 is a relatively poor electron donor. This observation is in agreement [w](#page-2-0)ith Röhrscheid and Holm's^{5[b](#page-2-0)} and Teixidor et al.'s^{5m,n} reports, which demonstrate that *o*-carborane acts as a strong electron-withdrawing group when [bou](#page-2-0)nd to phosphines by [the c](#page-2-0)arbon vertex.

Many classical phosphines behave similarly to 5 to produce analogous dimeric $[{\rm CIRhL(CO)}]_2$ species.⁹ Such complexes readily react with an additional phosphine ligand to produce $CIRhL₂(CO)$ species. What is unusual in t[hi](#page-2-0)s case is that the addition of excess (5 equiv, 60 $^{\circ}$ C, 24 h) phosphine ligand 5 to 7 does not result in the formation of a diphosphine adduct, as indicated by 31P NMR and IR spectroscopy. Additionally, in contrast to related $[CIRhL(CO)]_2$ complexes containing ocarborane phosphines that feature an unsubstituted C−H vertex,⁵ⁱ 7 is indefinitely stable in solution and does not undergo decomposition by B−H cyclometalation. The resistance of 7 towar[d a](#page-2-0)dditional phosphine coordination and cyclometalation is likely due to the steric influence of the m-terphenyl substituent.

To gain insight into the steric parameters of ligand 5, we carried out a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of complex 7 (Figure 3). In the solid state, the *m*-terphenyl substituents are projected above and below each of the square-planar rhodium centers (Figure 3, top). The geometric parameters of each half of the dimer are essentially identical; thus, a simplifed view of 7 is provided for further discussion (Figure 3, bottom). The Rh−P− C1−C2 dihedral angle is 47.7(5)°, which skews the *m*-terphenyl group to the side of the square plane containing the carbonyl

Figure 3. Full molecular structure of complex 7 (top). Simplified view of half of dimer 7 (bottom; hydrogen atoms and Trip isopropyl groups are omitted for clarity). Thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Color code: C, gray; B, brown; O, red; H, white; P, violet; Rh, blue; Cl, green. Selected bond lengths (Å; average from both halves of the dimer): P-Rh = 2.2297(19), P-C1 = 1.895(9), C1-C2 = 1.775(10), $C2-C3 = 1.513(10)$, Rh–C4 = 1.785(8), C4–O = 1.156(8).

substituent. This observation reveals that the P−C1 bond can rotate to some extent even with the presence of two gearing P− iPr groups that direct the m -terphenyl substituent toward the metal center. While the unusual shape of the ligand renders the cone angle description of the steric parameter uninstructive, the percent buried volume (% V_{bur}) approach¹⁰ provides a better gauge of the steric impact of this ligand. In the observed conformation, % V_{bur} of ligand 5 in c[om](#page-2-0)plex 7 is 45.7%. Comparatively, % $V_{\rm bur}$ for uncoordinated $P(iPr)_{3}$ is 37.6%.¹⁰ This means that replacement of a single *i*Pr substituent of $P(iPr)$ ₃ with the m-terphenyl/o-carborane substituent leads to an incr[ea](#page-2-0)se in $\%$ $V_{\rm bur}$ of at least 8.1%. When $\%$ $V_{\rm bur}$ of ligand ${\bf 5}$ in complex 7 is calculated with the Rh−P−C1−C2 torsional angle set to 0°, which rotates the central benzene ring of the *m*-terphenyl group directly underneath the square-planar rhodium atom, a significant increase in steric impact is observed (% $V_{\text{bur}} = 52.1$). On the basis of the symmetry of the ¹H NMR spectra of 7, this is a likely conformation in solution because the m-terphenyl substituent swings back and forth underneath the rhodium square plane (via partial P−C1 rotation). Therefore, the actual steric effect resulting from iPr substitution by the m-terphenyl/ocarborane substituent is an increase of +8.1−14.5% V_{bur} , depending on the conformation adopted in solution.

■ CONCLUSION

The results described above demonstrate the facile and efficient synthesis of a hybrid m -terphenyl/ o -carborane ligand building block. Its utility as a sterically demanding ligand substituent is

demonstrated by the preparation of phosphine 5 and subsequent reaction with $\lceil \text{CIRh(CO)}_2 \rceil$. The carborane substituent bestows unusual stability to the ensuing chloro-bridged dimer 7, as shown by its unreactivity with additional phosphine and resistance to B-H cyclometalation. Because the synthesis of ligand 5 is modular, it should be possible to further increase its steric profile by installing bulkier alkyl or aryl substituents at phosphorus or perhaps appending phosphorus with two m -terphenyl/ o carborane substituents. We are currently investigating this possibility as well as designing nitrogen- and carbon-based ligands for applications in catalysis.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, spectroscopic data, and X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: vincent.lavallo@ucr.edu.

Author Contributions

The man[uscript was written throu](mailto:vincent.lavallo@ucr.edu)gh contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. These authors contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Prof. Len Mueller (UCR) for his help in obtaining the solid-state 13 C NMR spectra of 7. We are grateful to UCR and the ACS PRF (Award 52255-DNI3) for financial support of this work.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For select examples of coordinating atoms tethered to m-terphenyl position A, see: (a) Jung, B.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1490. (b) Schenk, S.; Reiher, M. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 1638. (c) Kenward, A. L.; Ross, J. A.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M. Organometallics 2009, 28, 3625. (d) Yandulov, D. V.; Schrock, R. R.; Rheingold, A. L.; Ceccarelli, C.; Davis, W. M. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 796. (e) Yandulov, D. V.; Schrock, R. R. Science 2003, 301, 76. (f) Yandulov, D. V.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6252.

(2) For select examples of coordinating atoms tethered to m -terphenyl position B, see: (a) Jung, B.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1490. (b) Barnett, B. R.; Moore, C. E.; Rheingold, A. L.; Figueroa, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10262. (c) Ditri, T. B.; Carpenter, A. E.; Ripatti, D. S.; Moore, C. E.; Rheingold, A. L.; Figueroa, J. S. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 13216. (d) Emerich, B. M.; Moore, C. E.; Fox, B. J.; Rheingold, A. L.; Figueroa, J. S. Organometallics 2011, 30, 2598. (e) Johnson, B. P.; Almstätter, S.; Dielmann, F.; Bodensteiner, M.; Scheer, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2010, 636, 1275. (f) Margulieux, G. W.; Weidemann, N.; Lacy, D. C.; Moore, C. E.; Rheingold, A. L.; Figueroa, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5033. (g) Liddle, S. T.; Arnold, P. L. Dalton Trans. 2007, 3305. (h) Gavenonis, J.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 2003, 23, 31. (i) Hardman, N. J.; Cui, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Fink, W. H.; Power, P. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2172. (j) Twamley, B.; Hwang, C.-S.; Hardman, N. J.; Power, P. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 609, 152. (k) Twamley, B.; Sofield, C. D.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 3357.

(3) For reviews on applications of terphenyls as superbulky anionic ligands, see: (a) Power, P. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 627. (b) Rivard, E.; Power, P. P. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 10047.

(4) For reviews on carboranes and boron clusters as ligand substituents, see: (a) Popescu, A. R.; Teixidor, F.; Viñas, C. *Coord.*

Chem. Rev. 2014, 269, 54. (b) Spokoyny, A. M. Pure Appl. Chem. 2013, 85, 903. For reviews on icosahedral carboranes, see: (c) Olid, D.; Nunez, R.; Vinas, C.; Teixidor, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 3318. (d) Douvris, C.; Michl, J. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, PR179. (e) Scholz, M.; Hey-Hawkins, E. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 7035.

(5) For classic examples of phosphines containing carborane ligand substituents, see: (a) Hoel, E. L.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6388. (b) Röhrscheid, F.; Holm, R. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1965, 4, 335. (c) Teixidor, F.; Ayllon, J. A.; Vinas, C.; Kivekas, R.; Sillanpaa, R.; Casabo, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1281. (d) Teixidor, F.; Vinas, C.; Mar Abad, M.; Lopez, M.; Casabo, J. Organometallics 1993, 12, 3766. For recent examples of phosphines containing carborane substituents, see: (e) Lavallo, V.; Wright, J. H.; Tham, F. S.; Quinlivan, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3172. (f) El-Hellani, A.; Kefalidis, C. E.; Tham, F. S.; Maron, L.; Lavallo, V. Organometallics 2013, 32, 6887. (g) Spokoyny, A. M.; Lewis, C. D.; Teverovskiy, G.; Buchwald, S. L. Organometallics 2012, 31, 8478. (h) Spokoyny, A. M.; Machan, C. W.; Clingerman, D. J.; Rosen, M. S.; Wiester, M. J.; Kennedy, R. D.; Stern, C. L.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Mirkin, C. A. Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 590. (i) Fey, N.; Haddow, M. F.; Mistry, R.; Norman, N. C.; Orpen, A. G.; Reynolds, T. J.; Pringle, P. G. Organometallics 2012, 31, 2907. (j) Farras, P.; Teixidor, F.; Rojo, I.; ̀ Kivekäs, R.; Sillanpää, R.; González-Cardoso, P.; Viñas, C. J. *Am*. *Chem*. Soc. **2011**, 133, 16537. (k) Farràs, P.; Olid-Britos, D.; Viñas, C.; Teixidor, F. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 2011, 2525. (l) Popescu, A.-R.; Laromaine, A.; Teixidor, F.; Sillanpää, R.; Kivekäs, R.; Llambias, J. I.; Viñas, C. Chem.—Eur. J. **2011**, 17, 4429. (m) Nuñez, R.; Farràs, P.; Teixidor, F.; Viñas, C.; Sillanpää, R.; Kivekäs, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 1270. (n) Teixidor, F.; Nuñez, R.; Viñas, C.; Sillanpää, R.; Kivekäs, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4290.

(6) (a) Asay, M. J.; Fisher, S. P.; Lee, S. E.; Tham, F. S.; Borchardt, D.; Lavallo, V. Chem. Commun. 2015. (b) El-Hellani, A.; Lavallo, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4489.

(7) (a) Li, Y.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 9193. (b) Kusari, U.; Li, Y.; Bradley, M. G.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8662.

(8) Schumann, H.; Heisler, M.; Pickardt, J. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110, 1020.

- (9) Maisonnat, A.; Kalck, P.; Poilblanc, R. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 661.
- (10) Clavier, H.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 841.